A one-dimensional quantum walk with multiple-rotation on the coin

Peng Xue,[1,2,*] Rong Zhang,[1] Hao Qin,[1] Xiang Zhan,[1] Zhihao Bian,[1] and Jian Li[1]

[1]*Department of Physics, Southeast University, Nanjing 211189, China*
[2]*State Key Laboratory of Precision Spectroscopy, East China Normal University, Shanghai 200062, China*

We introduce and analyze a one-dimensional quantum walk with two time-independent rotations on the coin. We study the influence on the property of quantum walk due to the second rotation on the coin. Based on the asymptotic solution in the long time limit, a ballistic behaviour of this walk is observed. This quantum walk retains the quadratic growth of the variance if the combined operator of the coin rotations is unitary. That confirms no localization exhibits in this walk. This result can be extended to the walk with multiple time-independent rotations on the coin.

PACS numbers: 03.67.Lx, 05.40.Fb, 05.45.Mt

arXiv:1404.1611v2 [quant-ph] 12 Jun 2014

Quantum walks (QWs) are valuable in diverse areas of science, such as quantum algorithms [1–6], quantum computing [7–9], transport in biological systems [10, 11] and quantum simulations of physical system and important phenomena such as Anderson localization [12–19], Bloch oscillation [20–23] and non-trivial topological structure [24–26].

We study one possible route to the localization effect for the QW on the line: the use of multiple-rotation on the coin in order to change interference pattern between paths [27]. We find exact analytical expressions for the time-dependence of the first two moments $\langle x\rangle_t$ and $\langle x^2\rangle_t$, show the behaviour of QWs with two time-independent rotations on the coin and present that a ballistic behaviour instead of localization is observed. This result can be extended to the walk with multiple time-independent rotations on the coin.

The unitary operator for single-step of this QW with two time-independent rotations on the coin is

$$U(\theta,\phi) = TR_{\mathbf{n}_1}(\phi)R_{\mathbf{n}_2}(\theta). \tag{1}$$

The two rotations on the coin are

$$R_{\mathbf{n}_{1(2)}}(\theta) = e^{i\theta\mathbf{n}_{1(2)}\cdot\sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta + in_{z1(2)}\sin\theta & (in_{x1(2)} + n_{y1(2)})\sin\theta \\ (in_{x1(2)} - n_{y1(2)})\sin\theta & \cos\theta - in_{z1(2)}\sin\theta \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2}$$

where $\sigma = (\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z)^{\mathrm{T}}$ is the vector of Pauli matrices. The rotations are followed by a conditional position shift operator

$$T = S \otimes \mathcal{P}_0 + S^{\dagger} \otimes \mathcal{P}_1, \tag{3}$$

where $\mathcal{P}_0 = |0\rangle\langle 0|$ and $\mathcal{P}_1 = |1\rangle\langle 1|$ are two orthogonal projectors on the Hilbert space of the coin spanned by $\{|0\rangle = (1,0)^{\mathrm{T}}, |1\rangle = (0,1)^{\mathrm{T}}\}$, $S|x\rangle = |x+1\rangle$ and $S^{\dagger}|x\rangle = |x-1\rangle$ are applied on the walker's position. One can identify the eigenvectors $|k\rangle$ of S and $S^{\dagger}$,

$$|k\rangle = \sum_x e^{ikx}|x\rangle, \tag{4}$$

with eigenvalues

$$S|k\rangle = e^{-ik}|k\rangle, S^{\dagger}|k\rangle = e^{ik}|k\rangle. \tag{5}$$

Here a discrete-time QW is considered as a stroboscopic realization of static effective Hamiltonian, defined via the single-step evolution operator

$$U(\theta,\phi) = e^{-iH_{\mathrm{eff}}(\theta,\phi)\delta t}, \tag{6}$$

where δt is the time it takes to carry out one step and we set $\delta t = 1$ in the followings. The evolution operator for N steps is given by $U^N(\theta,\phi) = e^{-iNH_{\mathrm{eff}}(\theta,\phi)}$. For the general rotations in Eq. (2), the effective Hamiltonian can be written as

$$H_{\mathrm{eff}}(\theta,\phi) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\mathrm{d}k}{2\pi} [\omega(k)\mathbf{n}(k)\cdot\sigma] \otimes |k\rangle\langle k|, \tag{7}$$

*Electronic address: gnep.eux@gmail.com

where the quasi-energy

$$\omega(k) = \pm \arccos\Big\{ \cos k \left[\cos\phi\cos\theta - (n_{x1}n_{x2} + n_{y1}n_{y2} + n_{z1}n_{z2})\sin\phi\sin\theta\right] + \sin k \left[n_{z2}\cos\phi\sin\theta + \sin\phi(n_{z1}\cos\theta + n_{y1}n_{x2}\sin\theta - n_{x1}n_{y2}\sin\theta)\right]\Big\}, \tag{8}$$

and the unit vector $n(k) = [n_x(k), n_y(k), n_z(k)]$

$$\begin{aligned}
n_x(k) &= \frac{1}{\sin\omega(k)}\Big\{ -\sin k \left[n_{y2}\cos\phi\sin\theta + \sin\phi(n_{y1}\cos\theta - n_{z1}n_{x2}\sin\theta + n_{x1}n_{z2}\sin\theta)\right] \\
&+ \cos k \left[n_{x2}\cos\phi\sin\theta + \sin\phi(n_{x1}\cos\theta + n_{z1}n_{y2}\sin\theta - n_{y1}n_{z2}\sin\theta)\right]\Big\}, \\
n_y(k) &= \frac{1}{\sin\omega(k)}\Big\{ (n_{y2}\cos k + n_{x2}\sin k)\cos\phi\sin\theta + \cos k\sin\phi\left[n_{y1}\cos\theta + (-n_{z1}n_{x2} + n_{x1}n_{z2})\sin\theta\right] \\
&+ \sin k\sin\phi\left[n_{x1}\cos\theta + (n_{z1}n_{y2} - n_{y1}n_{z2})\sin\theta\right]\Big\}, \\
n_z(k) &= \frac{1}{\sin\omega(k)}\Big\{ (-\sin k\cos\phi + n_{z1}\cos k\sin\phi)\cos\theta + \sin k\sin\phi\sin\theta(n_{x1}n_{x2} + n_{y1}n_{y2} + n_{z1}n_{z2}) \\
&+ \cos k(n_{z2}\cos\phi + n_{y1}n_{x2}\sin\phi - n_{x1}n_{y2}\sin\phi)\sin\theta\Big\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

The inverse Fourier transformation is $|x\rangle = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\mathrm{d}k}{2\pi} e^{-ikx} |k\rangle$. The initial state of the walker+coin system can be written as $|\psi_0\rangle = |0\rangle \otimes |\Phi_0\rangle$, where the original position state of the walker is $|0\rangle = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\mathrm{d}k}{2\pi} |k\rangle$. In the k basis, the evolution operator $U(\theta,\phi)$ becomes

$$U(\theta,\phi)|k\rangle \otimes |\Phi_0\rangle = |k\rangle \otimes U_k(\theta,\phi)|\Phi_0\rangle, \tag{10}$$

where

$$U_k(\theta,\phi) = (e^{-ik}\mathcal{P}_0 + e^{ik}\mathcal{P}_1)R_{\mathbf{n_1}}(\phi)R_{\mathbf{n_2}}(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix} \tag{11}$$

is a 2×2 unitary matrix with the matrix elements

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{11} &= e^{-ik}\left[-(n_{x1} - in_{y1})(n_{x2} + in_{y2})\sin\phi\sin\theta + (\cos\phi + in_{z1}\sin\phi)(\cos\theta + in_{z2}\sin\theta)\right], \\
A_{12} &= e^{-ik}\left[(in_{x1} + n_{y1})\sin\phi(\cos\theta - in_{z2}\sin\theta) + (in_{x2} + n_{y2})(\cos\phi + in_{z1}\sin\phi)\sin\theta\right], \\
A_{21} &= e^{ik}\left[(in_{x1} - n_{y1})\sin\phi(\cos\theta + in_{z2}\sin\theta) + (n_{x2} + in_{y2})(i\cos\phi + n_{z1}\sin\phi)\sin\theta\right], \\
A_{22} &= e^{ik}\left[-(n_{x1} + in_{y1})(n_{x2} - in_{y2})\sin\phi\sin\theta + (\cos\phi - in_{z1}\sin\phi)(\cos\theta - in_{z2}\sin\theta)\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

At time t (the time t is proportional to the step number N), the walker+coin state evolves to

$$|\psi_t\rangle = U^t(\theta,\phi)|\psi_0\rangle = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\mathrm{d}k}{2\pi} |k\rangle \otimes U_k^t(\theta,\phi)|\Phi_0\rangle. \tag{13}$$

The probability for the walker to reach a position x at time t is

$$\begin{aligned}
p(x,t) &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\mathrm{d}k}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\mathrm{d}k'}{2\pi} e^{-ix(k-k')} \mathrm{Tr}\left\{ [U_{k'}(\theta,\phi)]^t \rho_0 \left[U_k^\dagger(\theta,\phi)\right]^t \right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\mathrm{d}k}{2\pi} \left[(1 + \langle\Phi_0|\,\mathbf{n}(k)\cdot\sigma\,|\Phi_0\rangle)\,\delta(v_k - \frac{x}{t}) + (1 - \langle\Phi_0|\,\mathbf{n}(k)\cdot\sigma\,|\Phi_0\rangle)\,\delta(v_k + \frac{x}{t}) \right],
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where $\rho_0 = |\Phi_0\rangle\langle\Phi_0|$, the group velocity of the walker $v_k = \partial\omega(k)/\partial k$. To determine if there is localization effect, we care more about the position variance and the dependence of the variance on time. Thus we restrict our interest to the moments of the distribution.

$$\langle x^m\rangle_t = \sum_x x^m p(x,t) = \sum_x x^m \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\mathrm{d}k}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\mathrm{d}k'}{2\pi} e^{-ix(k-k')} \mathrm{Tr}\left\{ [U_{k'}(\theta,\phi)]^t \rho_0 \left[U_k^\dagger(\theta,\phi)\right]^t \right\}. \tag{15}$$

With the formula of the delta function $\sum_x x^m e^{-ix(k-k')}/2\pi = i^m \delta^m(k-k')$, the expression of the mth moment is rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}\langle x^m\rangle_t &= \frac{i^m}{2\pi}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mathrm{d}k \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mathrm{d}k' \delta^m(k-k') \left[U_{k'}(\theta,\phi)\right]^t \rho_0 \left[U_k^\dagger(\theta,\phi)\right]^t, \\ &= i^m \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\mathrm{d}k}{2\pi} \frac{\mathrm{d}^m \left[U_k(\theta,\phi)\right]^t}{\mathrm{d}k^m} \rho_0 \left[U_k^\dagger(\theta,\phi)\right]^t. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Similar to a Hadamard coined walk [28], one can find the eigenvectors $|\Phi_{kj}\rangle$ of $U_k(\theta,\phi)$ and corresponding eigenvalues $e^{\pm i\omega(k)}$. We can expand the initial coin state $|\Phi_0\rangle = \sum_{j=1,2} c_{kj} |\Phi_{kj}\rangle$. With $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}k} U_k(\theta,\phi) = -i\sigma_z U_k(\theta,\phi)$ [29], we only keep the diagonal non-oscillatory terms and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\langle x\rangle_t &= -\sum_{l=1}^{t} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\mathrm{d}k}{2\pi} \sigma_z \left[U_k(\theta,\phi)\right]^l \rho_0 \left[U_k^\dagger(\theta,\phi)\right]^l \\ &= -t\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\mathrm{d}k}{2\pi} \sum_{j=1,2} |c_{kj}|^2 \langle\Phi_{kj}|\sigma_z|\Phi_{kj}\rangle + \text{oscillatory terms}. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

For non-degenerate unitary matrix $U_k(\theta,\phi)$, except for the diagonal non-oscillatory terms, most of the terms are oscillatory, which average to zero in the long-time limit [29].

Similarly, the second moment is obtained

$$\langle x^2\rangle_t = t^2 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\mathrm{d}k}{2\pi} \sum_{j=1,2} |c_{kj}|^2 \langle\Phi_{kj}|\sigma_z|\Phi_{kj}\rangle^2 + \text{oscillatory terms}. \tag{18}$$

From Eqs. (8) and (11), we can see the spectrum $e^{\pm i\omega(k)}$ of $U_k(\theta,\phi)$ is non-degenerate. Even for degenerate $U_k(\theta,\phi)$ one can modify Eqs. (17) and (18) to include appropriate cross terms, which does not change the dependence of the position variance on time.

Generically, in the long-time limit, for a unitary coin the first moment of the QW undergoes a linear drift and the variance grows quadratically with time. There is a special case—the σ_x coined QW, i.e., $R_{\mathbf{n}_1}(\phi)R_{\mathbf{n}_2}(\theta) = \sigma_x$, in which the eigenstates of U_k are $|\Phi_{k1}\rangle = (-e^{-ik}|0\rangle + |1\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ and $|\Phi_{k2}\rangle = (e^{ik}|0\rangle + |1\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$, resulting in $\langle\Phi_{kj}|\sigma_z|\Phi_{kj}\rangle = 0$ (for $j = 1, 2$). Thus the variance of the σ_x coined QW does not depend on time.

In the two rotations case, the combination operation of two rotations on the coin $R_{\mathbf{n}_1}(\phi)R_{\mathbf{n}_2}(\theta)$ shown in Eq. (11) is unitary. Thus for arbitrary choices of parameters θ and ϕ the position variance of the QW with two time-independent rotations on the coin grows quadratically and the behaviour of the QW is ballistic. Therefore, a second coin rotation does not change the behaviour of QW from a ballistic spread to localization.

The asymptotic analysis of the behaviour of this QW with two time-independent coin rotations can be extended to more general QW with more time-independent rotations on the coin. Once the combined operator of the multiple-rotation on the coin is unitary, the position variance grows quadratically with time and this QW shows ballistic behaviour. No localization effect occurs.

This walk is homogeneous in either spatial or temporal space. The coin rotations do not cause inhomogeneity in this walk which usually leads to interesting localization effect. Furthermore, there is another interesting idea [27] on observation of topological structures in this QW with multiple-rotation on the coin. The signature of non-trivial topological structure is localization effect due to localized bound states hosted at the topological boundaries. However, based on our result on this walk, we can prove that no localization occurs in this walk. That is because neither topological boundary nor phase transition line exists in this walk. The quasi-energy gap only closes at a few points in the parameter space (θ,ϕ). Here without losing generality, we use the case of the QW in [27] as an example. The unitary operator of single-step of the QW with two consecutive non-commuting rotations along y and x axes becomes $U(\theta,\phi) = TR_x(\phi)R_y(\theta)$. The quasi-energy $\omega(k)$ becomes

$$\omega(k) = \pm \arccos\left[\cos k \cos\theta \cos\phi - \sin k \sin\theta \sin\phi\right] \tag{19}$$

and the unit vector is

$$\mathbf{n}(k) = \frac{1}{\sin\omega(k)} \begin{pmatrix} \sin\phi\cos\theta\cos k - \cos\theta\sin\phi\sin k \\ \cos\phi\sin\theta\cos k + \sin\phi\cos\theta\sin k \\ \sin\phi\sin\theta\cos k - \cos\phi\cos\theta\sin k \end{pmatrix}. \tag{20}$$

The operator $U_k(\theta,\phi)$ is non-degenerate and unitary. Thus the behaviour of this walk is ballistic as we show above. From the dispersion relation we can see that this QW for generic θ and ϕ has gaps around $\omega = 0$ and $\omega = \pm\pi$.

Therefore the gap round $\omega = 0$ closes at $k = 0$, $(\theta = 0, \phi = 0)$ and $(\theta = \pm\pi, \phi = \pm\pi)$, $k = \pi$, $(\theta = 0, \phi = \pm\pi)$ and $(\theta = \pm\pi, \phi = 0)$, $k = \pi/2$, $(\theta = \pi/2(-\pi/2), \phi = -\pi/2(\pi/2))$, and $k = -\pi/2$, $(\theta = \pi/2(-\pi/2), \phi = \pi/2(-\pi/2))$, and the gap around $\omega = \pm\pi$ closes at $k = 0$, $(\theta = 0, \phi = \pm\pi)$ and $(\theta = \pm\pi, \phi = 0)$, $k = \pi$, $(\theta = 0, \phi = 0)$ and $(\theta = \pm\pi, \phi = \pm\pi)$, $k = \pi/2$, $(\theta = \pi/2(-\pi/2), \phi = \pi/2(-\pi/2))$, and $k = -\pi/2$, $(\theta = \pi/2(-\pi/2), \phi = -\pi/2(\pi/2))$. The gap closes at 13 individual points in the parameter space (θ, ϕ). There is neither topological boundary nor phase transition line in the parameter space. Thus no localized bound state hosted at the topological boundaries exists in this walk.

In summary, we study the QW with two time-independent rotations on the coin through the analytical solutions for the time dependence of the position variance. The asymptotic result can be extended to the walk with multiple time-independent rotations on the coin. As long as the combination of the multi-rotations is unitary, the variance grows quadratically with time and the QW shows ballistic behaviour. No localization effect is observed in this QW. Although the fact that two topics—QWs and localization effect meet, is fascinating and opens the door to rich theoretical and experimental investigation of quantum phenomena. Thus not only the investigation on simulating localization with QWs but also the study on the limitations on localization in quantum walk are important and worthy of attention. Our research exactly gives insight into limitations on localization.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Yongsheng Zhang and Shunlong Luo for simulating discussions. This work has been supported by NSFC under 11174052, 973 Program under 2011CB921203 and the Open Fund from the SKLPS of ECNU.

[1] J. Kempe, Cont. Phys. **44**, 307 (2003).
[2] A. Ambainis, Int. J. Quant. Inf. **1**, 507-518 (2003).
[3] A. M. Childs, R. Cleve, E. Deotto, E.Farhi, S. Gutmann, and D. A. Spielman, Proc. ACM Symp. on Theory of Computing (STOC 2003) pp 59-68 (2003).
[4] N. Shenvi, J. Kempe, and K. Birgitta Whaley, Phys. Rev. A **67**, 052307 (2003).
[5] S. D. Berry, J. B. Wang, Phys. Rev. A **82**, 042333 (2010).
[6] C. Di Franco, M. McGettrick, and Th. Busch, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 080502 (2011).
[7] A. M. Childs, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 180501 (2009).
[8] A. M. Childs, D. Gosset, and Z. Webb, Science **339**, 791 (2013).
[9] N. B. Lovett, S. Cooper, M. Everitt, M. Trevers, and V. Kendon, Phys. Rev. A. **81**, 042330 (2010).
[10] S. Lloyd, J. Phys.: Conf. Series **302**, 012037 (2011).
[11] S. Hoyer, M. Sarovar, and K. B. Whaley, New J. Phys. **12**, 065041 (2010).
[12] P. W. Anderson, Phys. Rev. **109**, 1492 (1958).
[13] A. Wójcik, T. Łuczak, P. Kurzyński, A. Grudka, T. Gdala, and M. Bednarska-Bzdęga, Phys. Rev. A **85**, 012329 (2012).
[14] N. Konno, Quant. Inf. Proc. **9**, 405 (2010).
[15] Y. Shikano, and H. Katsura, Phys. Rev. E **82**, 031122 (2010).
[16] R. Zhang, P. Xue, and J. Twamley, Phys. Rev. A **89**, 042317 (2014).
[17] A. Schreiber, K. N. Cassemiro, V. Potoček, A. Gábris, I. Jex, and C. Silberhorn, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 180403 (2011).
[18] A. Crespi, R. Osellame, R. Ramponi, V. Giovannetti, R. Fazio, L. Sansoni, F. De Nicola, F. Sciarrino, and P. Mataloni, Nat. Photonics **7**, 322-328 (2013).
[19] P. Xue, H. Qin, and B. Tang, Sci. Rep. **4**, 4825 (2014).
[20] A. Wójcik, T. Łuczak, P. Kurzyński, A. Grudka, and M. Bednarska, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 180601 (2004).
[21] M. C. Bañuls, C. Navarrete, A. Pérez, E. Roldán, and J. C. Soriano, Phys. Rev. A **73**, 062304 (2006).
[22] M. Genske, W. Alt, A. Steffen, A. H. Werner , R. F. Werner, D. Meschede, and A. Alberti, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 190601 (2013).
[23] P. Xue, H. Qin, B. Tang, and B. C. Sanders, New J. Phys. **16**, 053009 (2014).
[24] T. Kitagawa, M. S. Rudner, E. Berg, and E. Demler, Phys. Rev. A **82**, 033429 (2010).
[25] J. K. Asbóth, Phys. Rev. B **86**, 195414 (2012).
[26] T. Kitagawa, M. A. Broome, A. Fedrizzi, M. S. Rudner, E. Berg, I. Kassal, A. Aspuru-Guzik, E. Demler, and A. G. White, Nat. Commun. **3**, 882 (2012).
[27] G. Puentes, I. Gerhardt, F. Katzschmann, C. Silberhorn, J. Wrachtrup, and M. Lewenstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 120502 (2014); arXiv:1311.7675.
[28] A. Nayak, and A. Vishwanath, arXiv:quant-ph/0010117.
[29] T. A. Brun, H. A. Carteret, and A. Ambainis, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 130602 (2003).